

# Discipline Case Digest



**Discipline Case:** 2013-09 (A, B and C)

**Subject Member:** Registered Professional Foresters

**Referred to:** Complaints Resolution Committee

**Date of Decision:** February 2014

## Allegations

This case resulted from a complaint submitted in July 2013 from two members regarding the conduct and competence of four other members. The complainants allege that the subject members did not perform their duties in a timely manner, resulting in a backlog of document approvals and delays.

## Decision

The registrar consulted with the Complaints Resolution Committee (CRC) on this case. They recommended that the case was better suited for the ABCFP professional accountability process. The registrar determined that this complaint did not meet the test in section 22(6)(d) of the *Foresters Act* that states “the parties cannot resolve the matter on a reasonable and appropriate basis.” The registrar agreed with the CRC that the complaint should be resolved through the ABCFP’s professional accountability process rather than the complaint process.

The case is now closed